UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,469	01/19/2006	Tobias Melz	1033832-000013	9936
²¹⁸³⁹ BUCHANAN,	7590 06/20/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	NGUYEN, XUAN LAN T		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
•	•	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODĘ
			06/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/565,469	MELZ ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lan Nguyen	3683	·			
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PEI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If NO period for reply is specified above, the m Failure to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 f this communication. aximum statutory period w d for reply will, by statute, e months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 16(a). In no event, however, may a re- rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB.	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		. 1					
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		-· action is non-final.	•				
	·		ers prosecution as to the	he merits is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 10-25 is/are pendin	4) Claim(s) 10-25 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejecte	ed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	ed to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-25</u> are subject to	restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		·					
9) The specification is objected	to by the Examine	۲.	•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that a				•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) i							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ No		priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<u> </u>						
3. Copies of the certified	copies of the prior	ity documents have been	received in this Nationa	al Stage			
application from the In							
* See the attached detailed Office	ce action for a list	of the certified copies not i	eceived.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC 			/Mail Date formal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

• Figure 2 Species A	• Fig	igure 2	Species A	4
----------------------	-------	---------	-----------	---

Figure 6 Species B

Figure 7 Species C

• Figure 9 Species D

• Figure 10 Species E

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/565,469

Art Unit: 3683

કે

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept 2. under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or ... corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The species have been illustrated and described to comprise different structures of the support element.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lan Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on (571) 272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Xuan Lan Nguyen/ 6/5/07 Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683